

SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCBN 9990)  
United States Attorney

MARK L. KROTOSKI (CABN 138549)  
Chief, Criminal Division

KIRSTIN M. AULT (CABN 206052)  
Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36044  
San Francisco, California 94102  
Telephone: (415) 436-6940  
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234  
E-mail: kirstin.ault@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	No.: CR 3-07-70134 JCS
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	<del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER FOR
	)	<u>DETENTION PENDING TRIAL</u>
v.	)	
	)	
CHRISTOPHER NIU,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	SAN FRANCISCO VENUE

On March 7, 2007, the defendant made his initial appearance before this Court and was arraigned on a superseding indictment out of the District of Hawaii charging him with conspiring to distribute, and possess with intent to distribute, 50 grams or more of methamphetamine, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 846. The defendant was represented at that hearing by the Federal Public Defender's Office. At that time, the United States moved for a detention hearing on the ground that under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2)(A) the defendant posed a serious risk of flight. A detention hearing was set for March 9, 2007.

On March 9, 2007, panel counsel was appointed to represent the defendant and requested

1 that the detention hearing be continued so that he would have time to prepare. The detention  
2 hearing was continued to March 14, 2007. On March 14, 2007, the parties appeared, and defense  
3 counsel requested further time to prepare for a detention hearing. The matter was continued to  
4 March 29, 2007.

5 On March 29, 2007, attorney John Runfola appeared for the defendant and was  
6 substituted for panel counsel. A detention hearing was held, with both parties proceeding by  
7 proffer. Prior to the hearing the Court had reviewed the report of Pretrial Services, and both  
8 sides were afforded an opportunity to review that report. The United States recommended  
9 detention on the grounds of both danger to the community and flight risk under 18 U.S.C. §  
10 3142(e). Pretrial Services recommended detention on the ground of risk of flight but indicated  
11 that its position might change if adequate sureties could be found. The defendant opposed  
12 detention. At the hearing, the United States made reference to recorded telephone calls that the  
13 defendant had placed from jail on the day of his arrest. The United States contended that this  
14 calls indicated that the defendant intended to flee the jurisdiction and that he had made efforts to  
15 destroy or conceal evidence. The Court continued the detention hearing until April 6, 2007 and  
16 ordered the United States to provide copies of the recorded inmate telephone calls to the defense.

17 On April 2, 2007, the United States submitted to the Court and served on the defendant a  
18 compact disk containing copies of the recorded inmate telephone calls to which counsel for the  
19 United States had referred during the March 29, 2007 hearing. The United States also filed a  
20 submission highlighting certain portions of the calls. On April 6, 2007, the defendant filed a  
21 submission explaining his interpretation of the recorded inmate calls. The Court read and  
22 considered the submissions of both parties and personally listened to the recorded inmate calls  
23 submitted by the United States.

24 On April 6, 2007, a further detention hearing was held. Numerous members of the  
25 defendant's family were present to express their support for the defendant and their willingness  
26 to sign on a bond for the defendant's release. Counsel for the United States and the defendant

1 presented arguments to the Court. After considering those arguments, as well as the submissions  
2 of counsel and the report of pretrial services, the Court found by clear and convincing evidence  
3 that the defendant presents a risk to the safety of the community and by a preponderance of the  
4 evidence that the defendant presents a risk of non-appearance at court proceedings. The Court  
5 further found that no condition or combination of conditions of pretrial release could be  
6 fashioned that would adequately assure the safety of the community or the defendant's presence  
7 in court. This Order supplements the Court's findings announced from the bench and serves as a  
8 written findings of fact and statement of reasons as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(1).

9 According to the Pretrial Services report, the defendant's criminal history includes a 1990  
10 conviction for murder and a 2001 conviction for possession of a controlled substance for sale. In  
11 addition, the charges pending against the defendant in Hawaii are serious. The defendant is  
12 charged with participating in a conspiracy to distribute and possess with intent to distribute 50  
13 grams or more of methamphetamine. The defendant is alleged to have arranged for others to  
14 transport kilogram quantities of methamphetamine from California to Hawaii. This offense  
15 carries a mandatory minimum sentence of 10 years and a maximum sentence of life in prison.

16 The Court personally reviewed recorded telephone calls placed by the defendant from jail  
17 on the day of his arrest. During these calls, the defendant appears to be enlisting the aid of his  
18 sister and fiancé to hide and destroy assets and evidence in this case. In particular, the defendant  
19 discussed removing license plates from vehicles and covering and moving those vehicles in a  
20 context that indicates he was trying to conceal those vehicles from law enforcement. The  
21 defendant also directed his fiancé to delete messages and information from his "myspace" and  
22 "hotmail" internet accounts. The context of the instructions given by the defendant, as well as  
23 the urgency with which he gave the instructions, and the fact that he chose to preoccupy himself  
24 and his family with this task almost immediately upon his arrest, indicate that the defendant's  
25 efforts were directed toward destroying potentially incriminating evidence.

26 Moreover, during several of the recorded telephone calls, the defendant repeatedly told

his fiancé that they should have moved. The defendant also made references to traveling to Tonga. The context and juxtaposition of these comments lead to the conclusion that the defendant regretted not leaving the area and traveling to Tonga when he had the chance. The Court notes that the defendant has family in Tonga and was visiting his sister there as recently as last year.

Based on the defendant's criminal history, which includes convictions for murder and drug trafficking, the seriousness of the charges the defendant now faces, evidence that the defendant made efforts to conceal and destroy evidence, and evidence that the defendant has contemplated fleeing the jurisdiction to avoid the charges he now faces, the Court finds by clear and convincing evidence that there are no conditions of release that it could fashion that would reasonably assure the safety of the community, and finds by a preponderance of the evidence that there are no conditions of release that it could fashion that would reasonably assure the presence of the defendant at court hearings.

According, the Court hereby ORDERS:

(1) pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) defendant Christopher Niu shall be DETAINED pending removal to the District of Hawaii;

(2) defendant Christopher Niu shall be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal;

(3) defendant Christopher Niu shall be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and

(4) on order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which defendant Christopher Niu

///

///

1 is confined shall deliver Christopher Niu to a United States marshal for the purpose of an  
2 appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

3  
4 IT IS SO ORDERED

5  
6 Dated: 4/11/07

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

HON. J. \_\_\_\_\_  
United States District Judge

